Recurrent Otitis Media among Infants and Children in Arar City, Northern Saudi Arabia

Sawsañ Hassan Abdalla Hàshim¹, Asmaa Enad S Alenazy^{2*}, Reema Faleh M Alanazi², Anfal Farhan K Alruwaili², Ajaweed Saud Muharrab Alruwaili²

¹ Associate prof. of Pediatrics, Northern Border University, Arar, KSA. ² Undergraduate, Medical student, Northern Border University, Arar, KSA.

Abstract

Background: Otitis media (OM) is the inflammation of the mucous membrane of the middle ear cleft, which includes the middle ear cavity (tympanic cavity), mastoid antrum, mastoid air cells, and the Eustachian tube. It is the second most prevalent pediatric diagnosis of upper respiratory infections in the emergency department. Method: This is an analytical cross-sectional study that was carried out on 336 children in Maternity and Children Hospital in Arar city, Northern Saudi Arabia. The study aimed to show the prevalence and risk factors of recurrent otitis media among infants and children in Arar City, Northern Saudi Arabia. Results: The study found that more than half of cases, 53.6%, had otitis media in the last seven months, and 23.2% had recurrent otitis media (ROM). The most common symptoms of otitis media were otalgia reported by 70%, followed by crying and irritability 36.7%. As regard complication, 35.6% of OM cases had complications. More than half (54.7%) had otitis interna followed by 45.3% had a hearing impairment, and 17.2% had mastoiditis. Conclusion: The study concluded that the majority of cases had otitis media, but, recurrent otitis media occurred in 23% of cases. The most common symptom was otalgia. Although there were improvements in the treatment, complications occurred in a third of cases.

Keywords: Recurrent otitis media, prevalence, risk factors, treatment, complications.

INTRODUCTION

Otitis media is known as middle ear fluid infection. It is the second most prevalent pediatric diagnosis of upper respiratory infections in the emergency department ^[1]. Although otitis media occurs at any age, it is often observed at 6 to 24 months of age ^[2]. AOM is very common, and 75% of children experience at least one ear infection before starting school ^[3]. In up to 20% of children, recurrent AOM has been reported to occur in the first 6 months of life ^[4].

AOM risk factors include young age and regular interaction with other children, which contributes to increased exposure to viral diseases. Many risk factors include orofacial defects (such as cleft palate), household crowding, cigarette smoke exposure, use of pacifiers, shorter breastfeeding length, prolonged bottle feeding when lying down, and a family history of otitis media ^[5, 6]. Symptoms are unspecific and are insufficient to diagnose AOM on their own. Systemic symptoms, such as trouble sleeping or reduced playfulness, irritability, and fever, are typical even in the absence of AOM in respiratory viral infections (e.g., influenza and respiratory syncytial virus infections) ^[7, 8]. However, in infants with an illness, symptoms may be non-specific and difficult to identify ^[9].

Compared to older children, infants experiencing AOM before 12months of age are more likely to develop

concomitant conductive hearing loss, prolonged middle ear effusion, recurrent AOM, and are more likely to require a tympanostomy tube surgery ^[10]. Due to the increasing antibiotic resistance, the potential for long-term learning disability, and high prevalence, treatment costs, it is essential to accurately characterize the incidence trends and related risk factors of otitis media ^[11].

The occurrence and severity of specific symptoms, observed by parents, when combined with known risk factor data, may provide clues to the absence or presence of concurrent AOM in young infants with URI ^[12].

Address for correspondence: Asmaa Enad S. Alenazy, Faculty of Medicine, Northern Border University, KSA. Email: asmaalsalim91 @ gmail.com

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to cite this article: Hassan Abdalla Hàshim, S., Enad S Alenazy, A., Faleh M Alanazi, R., Farhan K Alruwaili, A., Saud Muharrab Alruwaili, A. Recurrent Otitis Media among Infants and Children in Arar City, Northern Saudi Arabia. Arch Pharma Pract 2020;11(3):70-5. An epidemiological study was done in KSA among 9540 children aged up to 12 years to assess the prevalence of AOM and the rate of hearing impairment. 100 (1.05%) children with acute otitis media (AOM) were diagnosed. The incidence was lower in the age group of 8-12 years and higher in young children up to 4 years of age. Female children had a slightly lower rate of AOM as compared with males (0.80% and 1.36), respectively (P < 0.01). Moreover, AOM was more prevalent among children whose parents were cousins compared to non-relative parents (1.38 and 0.74%) (P >0.001). Those with poor socio-economic conditions showed a higher rate, especially those living in the Southern part with poor or inadequate health services provided ^[13].

Another study conducted to assess the usefulness of symptoms and other risk factors in predicting the incidence of AOM in infants reported that infants (N=193, mean age at first URI 3.9 \pm 2.5months) experienced 63 AOM events and 360 URI episodes. Symptoms including restless sleep, poor feeding, earache, fever, and irritability together (ETG-5) were statistically associated with the prediction of AOM (P=0.006). Multiple variable statistical models (J-Score) that included daycare attendance, earache, age, and the severity of cough best predicted AOM (P < 0.001), with 95% specificity. Both J-score and ETG-5 had relatively low sensitivity for predicting AOM ^[14].

Study objectives:

To show the prevalence and risk factors of recurrent otitis media among infants and children in Arar City, Northern Saudi Arabia

METHODOLOGY:

An analytical cross-sectional study was performed in Children and Maternity Hospital in Arar City, Northern Saudi Arabia.

Sample size and sampling technique:

We calculated our sample size using standard online tools through the following formula $(N=(Z\alpha)^2 \times ([p(1-p)]/d^2))$

Where:

n = estimated sample size, Z α at 5% level of significance = 1.96, d = level of precision and is estimated to be 0.05, p = prevalence of recurrent otitis media in two previous studies (50%).

The expected response rate was estimated to be 80%, adding a 20% non- response, so the minimum sample size was 343 children.

The sampled participants were selected using the systematic random sampling technique. We included every 2^{nd} child until the end of the sample.

Data were collected from the mothers or caregivers of infants and children using a pre-designed questionnaire, which distributed to be self-reported. The questionnaire included a brief introduction or explanation of the idea of the research to children mothers. To collect data, mothers filled out the pre-designed questionnaire, including:

- Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants including sex and age of the child and educational and marital status of parents, consanguinity between parents, hereditary diseases and average monthly family income
- If the child has otitis media, recurrence of OM, and the number of recurrences if existed.
- Questions about otitis media (family history, symptoms, diagnosis, complications, and treatment of otitis media)

Statistical analysis:

Collected data were coded and analyzed using SPSS, v5. Descriptive statistics for the prevalence and quantitative variables were used. The relation between otitis media and socio-demographic characteristics was determined using A 2-sided p-value that was considered statistically significant if it was less than 0.05. The evaluation of the relation between (age, occupation, marital status, educational level) was done using the Chi-square test.

Ethical considerations:

This study was reviewed and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Northern Border University. Participants were informed that participation was entirely voluntary, and the questionnaire had a brief introduction to explain the research idea to participants. No names were recorded on the questionnaires, and all questionnaires were kept safe.

RESULTS

The study included 336 participants. Table 1 shows that 57.1% were aged 4-9 years, 33.0% were three years old or less, and the mean age \pm SD was 5.3 \pm 3.0. Males constituted 53.3%. The majority of mothers and fathers had a university degree or more (79.8%, and 60.1%, respectively), whereas only 5.4% and 2.1% of mothers and fathers had primary education or were illiterate, respectively. Consanguinity between parents was reported by 45.8%, and one or both parents were smokers in 45.8% of cases. Of all, 41.7% of families had a very good financial status. Artificial feeding was reported among 74.4%.

As shown in Table 1, the prevalence of ROM was 18%, 24.0%, and 39.4% among children aged three years or less, 4-9 years, and ten years or more, respectively. Females had a higher prevalence (27.4%) than males (20.1%). The highest prevalence of ROM among financial status groups was among poor families (37.5%), and the lowest was among excellent financial status families (18.9%). ROM was more common among children with consanguine

parents (25.3% vs. 22.0%). None of these factors was significantly associated with the prevalence of the disease (P>0.05).

Table 2 shows the prevalence of otitis media reported in children in the last seven months (53.6%), and prevalence of ROM (23.2%).

Table 3 shows the association between ROM and different clinical factors among children who suffered from otitis media one time or more in the last seven months. Symptoms were significantly associated with recurrence (P=0.025), as the highest recurrence rates were reported among the

children who had difficulty hearing (64.9%), discharge (57.1%), headache (54.5%), sleeplessness (53.1%), fever (48.8%), anorexia (48.6%), otalgia (44.4%), pressure on the ear (43.5%), crying and irritability (34.8%), and vertigo (33.3%). Recurrence was also significantly associated with performing culture sensitivity test as recurrence was reported among 65.0% of cases who performed a culture sensitivity test. Complications were also associated with recurrence (P=0.030), as recurrence was reported in 100% of cases who suffered from meningitis, adhesions, facial paralysis, and 86.2% who suffered from hearing impairment after the infection.

Table 1: Socio-demographic data of the participants, KS	SA, 2020 (I	N=336).		
Parameter	Frequency	/ Percent	ROM	P-value
Age				
• $3 \ge years$	111	33.0%	18.0%	
• 4 – 9 years	192	57.1%	24.0%	0.118
• 10 ≤ years	33	9.8%	39.4%	0.110
Mean±S.D.	5.3±	3.0	-	
Gender				
• Male	179	53.3%	20.1%	0.226
• Female	157	46.7%	27.4%	0.220
Mother's educational leve	el			
Primary education or illiterate	18	5.4%	33.3%	
Preparatory education	11	3.3%	27.3%	0.805
Secondary education	39	11.6%	25.6%	0.005
• University or more	268	79.8%	22.4%	
Father's educational leve	1			
Primary education or illiterate	7	2.1%	42.9%	
Preparatory education	18	5.4%	38.9%	0.246
Secondary education	109	32.4%	25.7%	0.240
• University or more	202	60.1%	20.3%	
The financial status of the fai	mily			
• Poor	8	2.4%	37.5%	
• Average	74	22.0%	28.4%	
• Good	24	7.1%	25.0%	0.850
Very good	140	41.7%	22.9%	
• Excellent	90	26.8%	18.9%	
Consanguinity between pare	ents			
• Yes	154	45.8%	25.3%	0.755
• No	182	54.2%	22.0%	0.755
One or two of the parents is a s	moker			
• Yes	161	47.9%	24.2%	0.415
• No	175	52.1%	22.9%	0.413
Infant feeding				
Artificial feeding	250	74.4%	23.6%	0.514
Breastfeeding	86	25.6%	23.3%	0.514

*The Chi-square statistic is significant at the 0.05 level.

Table	2:	Prevalence	of	otitis	media	and	recurrent	otitis	media	among	Saudi	children,	KSA
2020	(N=	336).											

Parame	eter	Frequency	Percent
		Otitis media in the last seven months	
•	Yes	180	53.6%
•	No	156	46.4%

Sawsañ Hassan Abdalla Hàshim et al.: Recurrent Otitis Media among Infants and Children in Arar City, Northern Saudi Arabia

Recurrent otitis media (ROM)						
•	Yes	78	23.2%			
•	No	258	76.8%			

Table 3:	Symptoms,	management,	outcome,	complications,	and p	prevalence	of recurrent	otitis
media an	nong self-re	ported otitis me	edia Saudi	children, KSA,	2020	(N=180).		

Symptoms • Fever 41 22.8% 48.8% • Headache 22 12.2% 54.5% • Otalgia 126 70.0% 44.4% • Crying and irritability 66 36.7% 34.8% • Discharge 28 15.6% 57.1% 0.025 • Difficulty hearing 37 20.6% 64.9% 0.025 • Pressure on the ear 23 12.8% 43.5% 0.025 • Vertigo 15 8.3% 33.3% 0.406 48.6% • Vertigo 15 8.3% 33.3% 0.960 18 10.0% 43.6% 0.960 • No 18 10.0% 43.6% 0.960 18 10.0% 43.6% 0.960 • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 16.0 88.9% 41.3% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test • No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.4425	Parameter	Frequency	Percent	ROM	P-value
• Fever • Galagia • Otalagia • Otificulty hearing • Otificulty hearing • Otificulty hearing • Otificulty hearing • Otertigo • Vertigo • Seeplessness • Otressure on the ear • Seeplessness • Otifican visit • Vertigo • No •	Symptoms				
• Headache 22 12.2% 54.5% • Otalgia 126 70.0% 44.4% • Otalgia 126 70.0% 44.4% • Discharge 28 15.6% 57.1% 34.8% • Difficulty hearing 37 20.6% 64.9% 33.3% • Pressure on the ear 23 12.8% 43.5% • Vertigo 15 8.3% 33.3% • Anorexia 37 20.6% 48.6% • Sleeplessness 49 27.2% 53.1% Physician visit • Yes 161 0.0% 43.8% 0.960 Physician prescribed treatment • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.425 • No 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.782 Deforming culture sensitivity test • No 171 9.4% 47.1% 0.425 • No 101 65.0% 0.425 • Y	• Fever	41	22.8%	48.8%	
• Otalgia 125 70.0% 44.4% • Crying and irritability 66 35.7% 33.8% • Discharge 28 15.6% 57.1% • Difficulty hearing 37 20.6% 64.9% • Pressure on the ear 23 12.8% 43.5% • Vertigo 15 8.3% 33.3% • Vertigo 15 8.3% 33.3% • Vertigo 15 8.3% 33.3% • Vertigo 16 9.02.6% 48.6% • Sleeplesness 49 27.2% 53.1% Physician prescribed treatment • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% • No 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.425 • No 34 18.9% 50.0% 0.425 Complications • Yes 9 50.6% 55.6% 0.469 • No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 • No	Headache	22	12.2%	54.5%	
 Crying and irritability 66 36.7% 34.8% Difficulty hearing 37 20.6% 64.9% 43.5% Vertigo 15 8.3% 33.3% Anorexia 37 20.6% 48.6% 33.3% 33.3% 33.3% Anorexia 37 20.6% 48.6% 33.3% 33.3% Anorexia 37 20.6% 48.6% 33.3% 33.3% 33.3% Anorexia 37 20.6% 48.6% 33.3% 33.4% 34.8% 30.0% 30.6% 43.6% 30.6% 41.1% 42.5% 11.1% 65.0% 30.6% 41.3% 30.6% 41.3% 42.5% 11.1% 42.5% 30.6% 43.6% 44.4% 44.4% 44.4% 44.4% 44.4% 44.4% 44.4% 44.4%	• Otalgia	126	70.0%	44.4%	
 Discharge 28 15.6% 57.1% 0.025 Difficulty hearing 37 20.6% 64.9% 43.5% 33.3% 23 12.8% 43.5% 33.3% Anorexia 37 20.6% 48.6% Sleeplessness 49 27.2% 53.1% Frysician visit Yes 162 90.0% 43.8% 0.960 18 10.0% 44.4% 0.960 18 10.0% 44.4% 0.960 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment Yes 163 89.9% 41.3% 0.444 100 88.9% 41.3% 0.449 No 11.1% 65.0% 0.441 Yes 11.1% 65.0% 0.469 No 11.1% 65.6% 0.469 No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.300 Mastoiditis 11 12.5% Mastoiditis 11 16% 10.0% 40.00% 6.2% 0.400% 6.44.5% 1.6% 10.0% 0.400% 1.6% 10.0% 0.400% 1.6% 1.6% 1.6% 1.6% 1.6% 1.6% 1.6% 1.6%<!--</th--><th>Crying and irritability</th><th>66</th><th>36.7%</th><th>34.8%</th><th></th>	Crying and irritability	66	36.7%	34.8%	
 Difficulty hearing 37 20.6% 64.9% 0.025 Pressure on the ear 23 12.8% 43.5% Vertigo 15 8.3% 33.3% Anorexia 37 20.6% 48.6% Sleeplessness 49 27.2% 53.1% Physician visit Yes 162 90.0% 43.8% 0.960 No 18 0.0% 43.8% 0.960 Physician prescribed treatment Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 No 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment Yes 164 81.1% 42.5% 0.425 No 34 18.9% 50.0% 0.425 No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.044 Performing culture sensitivity test Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.443 No 160 88.9% 43.3% 0.469 No 160 88.9% 43.3% 0.469 No 160 88.9% 43.3% 0.469 Complications Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.439 No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.439 No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.439 Matoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Matoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Matoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Matoiditis 11 16% 100.0% Adhesions 3 4.7% 100.0% Hearing inpairment 29 45.3% 86.2% 	• Discharge	28	15.6%	57.1%	0.025
 Pressure on the ear Vertigo 15 8.3% 33.3% Anorexia 37 20.6% 48.6% Sleeplessness 49 27.2% 53.1% Physician visit Yes 162 90.0% 43.8% 0.960 No 18 10.0% 44.4% 0.960 No 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 No 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment Yes 163 80.0% 0.425 No 11.1% 65.0% 0.433 0.469 No 11.1% 65.0% 0.469 No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.469 No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.469 0.469<th>Difficulty hearing</th><th>37</th><th>20.6%</th><th>64.9%</th><th>0.025</th>	Difficulty hearing	37	20.6%	64.9%	0.025
• Vertigo 15 8.3% 33.3% • Anorexia 37 20.6% 48.6% • Sleeplessness 49 27.2% 53.1% Physician visit • Yes 162 90.0% 43.8% 0.960 • No 18 10.0% 44.4% 0.960 Physician prescribed treatment • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 146 81.1% 42.5% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test • No 34 18.9% 50.0% 0.444 Tube placement • Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.444 No 11.1% 65.0% 0.449 No 171 2.5 Methion (N=64 • No 160 64.4% 37.9% 0.30 Methion (N=64) </th <th>Pressure on the ear</th> <th>23</th> <th>12.8%</th> <th>43.5%</th> <th></th>	Pressure on the ear	23	12.8%	43.5%	
• Anorexia 37 20.6% 48.6% • Sleeplessness 49 27.2% 53.1% Physician visit • Yes 162 90.0% 43.8% 0.960 Physician prescribed treatment • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 146 81.1% 42.5% 0.425 Deforming culture sensitivity test • Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.044 • No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.044 Complications Uter placement • Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 • No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.030 Mention (N=64) • No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.030 • Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% • No 116 64.4% <th>• Vertigo</th> <th>15</th> <th>8.3%</th> <th>33.3%</th> <th></th>	• Vertigo	15	8.3%	33.3%	
• Sleeplessness 49 27.2% 53.1% Physician visit • Yes 162 90.0% 43.8% 0.960 • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 • No 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 146 81.1% 42.5% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test • Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.444 • No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.444 • No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.469 • No 171 9.50% 43.3% 0.469 • No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.469 • No 116 <	Anorexia	37	20.6%	48.6%	
Physician visit • Yes 162 90.0% 43.8% 0.960 • No 18 10.0% 44.4% 0.960 Physician prescribed treatment • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 • No 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 146 81.1% 42.5% 0.425 • No 34 18.9% 50.0% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test • Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.044 • No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.044 Complications • Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 • No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 Mention (N=64) • Yes 64 35.6% 54.7% 0.030 Mention (N=64) • Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% • Mastoiditis 11 16.6% 100.0%	Sleeplessness	49	27.2%	53.1%	
 Yes No 18 10.0% 43.8% 0.960 Physician prescribed treatment Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 No 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 0.782 0.782 0.782 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 0.475 0.455 0.425 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.469 0.049 0.469 0.030 16 0.44 0.469 0.469<	Physician vis	sit			
 No 18 10.0% 44.4% 0.900 Physician prescribed treatment Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment Yes 146 81.1% 42.5% 0.425 No 34 18.9% 50.0% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.044 1.1% 65.0% 0.044 1.1% 65.0% 0.044 No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.044 Tube placement Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 No 160 43.3% 0.469 Mention (N=64) No 16 64.4% 37.9% 0.030 Mention (N=64) Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% 0.000 Facial paralysis 1 1.6% 100.0% 45.3% 86.2% 	• Yes	162	90.0%	43.8%	0.060
Physician prescribed treatment • Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 • No 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 146 81.1% 42.5% 0.425 • No 34 18.9% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test • Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.444 • No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.444 • Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 • Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 • Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 • Ves 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 • Otitis interna 35 54.7% 42.9% • No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.030 • Otitis interna 35 54.7% 42.9% • No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.000 • Otitis interna 35 54.	• No	18	10.0%	44.4%	0.900
• Yes 163 90.6% 43.6% 0.782 No 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.782 Improvement on the treatment • Yes 146 81.1% 42.5% 0.425 • No 34 18.9% 50.0% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test • Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.044 • No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.044 • Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 • No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 • No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 • No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 • No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.030 Mention (N=64) • Otitis interna 35 54.7% 42.9% • Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% • Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% • Mastoiditis 11 16% 100.0% • Adhesions 3 4.7% 100.0% • Facial paralysis 1 1.6% 100.0% • Hearing imparment 29 45.3% 86.2%	Physician prescribed	treatment			
 No 17 9.4% 47.1% 0.762 Improvement on the treatment Yes 146 81.1% 42.5% 0.425 No 34 18.9% 50.0% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.044 Tube placement Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 Complications Yes 64 35.6% 54.7% 43.3% 0.030 Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% 463.3% 6.2% 	• Yes	163	90.6%	43.6%	0.782
Improvement on the treatment • Yes 146 81.1% 42.5% 0.425 • No 34 18.9% 50.0% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test 0.044 • Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.044 • No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.044 • No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.044 • Ves 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 • No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 • No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 • No 161 64.4% 37.9% 0.300 • Mention (N=64) 11 17.2% 45.5% • Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% • Mastoiditis 1 1.6% 100.0% • Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% • Adhesions 3 4.7% 100.0% • Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% • Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% • Facial para	• No	17	9.4%	47.1%	0.782
 Yes No 34 18.9% 50.0% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.044 No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.044 Tube placement Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.425 0.044 0.050 0.050 0.060 0.060 0.000 0.00	Improvement on the	treatment			
 No 34 18.9% 50.0% 0.425 Performing culture sensitivity test Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.044 No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.044 Tube placement Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 Complications Yes 64 35.6% 54.7% 0.030 No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.030 Mention (N=64) Otitis interna 35 54.7% 42.9% Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% Adhesions 3 4.7% 100.0% Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2% 	• Yes	146	81.1%	42.5%	0.425
Performing culture sensitivity test • Yes 20 11.1% 65.0% 0.044 • No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.044 Tube placement • Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 • No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 Complications 0.030 0.030 0.030 • Yes 64 35.6% 54.7% 0.030 • No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.030 • No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.030 • Mention (N=64) 11 17.2% 45.5% • Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% • Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% • Adhesions 3 4.7% 100.0% • Facial paralysis 1 1.6% 100.0% • Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2%	• No	34	18.9%	50.0%	0.425
 Yes No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.044 Tube placement Yes No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 Complications Yes 64 35.6% 54.7% 0.030 Mention (N=64) 11 17.2% 45.5% Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% 0.000 Facial paralysis 1 1.6% 100.0% 45.3% 86.2% 	Performing culture sen	sitivity test			
 No 160 88.9% 41.3% 0.004 Tube placement Yes No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 Complications Yes 64 35.6% 54.7% 0.030 Mention (N=64) 0.030 Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% 0.000 Facial paralysis 1 1.6% 100.0% 45.3% 86.2% 	• Yes	20	11.1%	65.0%	0 044
Tube placement • Yes 9 5.0% 55.6% 0.469 • No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 Complications 0 0 0 0 • Yes 64 35.6% 54.7% 0.030 • No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.030 • Motion (N=64) 0 0 0 0 • Otitis interna 35 54.7% 42.9% 0.000 • Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% 0.000 • Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% 0.000 • Facial paralysis 1 1.6% 100.0% 0.000 • Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2%	• No	160	88.9%	41.3%	0.044
 Yes No 171 95.0% 43.3% 0.469 Complications Yes 64 35.6% 54.7% 0.030 Mention (N=64) Otitis interna 35 54.7% 42.9% Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% 0.000 Facial paralysis 1 1.6% 100.0% 45.3% 86.2% 	Tube placeme	ent			
 No 171 95.0% 43.3% 6.105 Complications Yes 64 35.6% 54.7% 0.030 Mention (N=64) Otitis interna 35 54.7% 42.9% Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% 0.000 Facial paralysis 1 1.6% 100.0% Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2% 	• Yes	9	5.0%	55.6%	0 469
Complications • Yes 64 35.6% 54.7% 0.030 • No 116 64.4% 37.9% 0.030 Mention (N=64) • Otitis interna 35 54.7% 42.9% • Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% • Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% 0.000 • Facial paralysis 1 1.6% 100.0% 0.000 • Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2%	• No	171	95.0%	43.3%	0.109
 Yes No 116 64.35.6% 54.7% 0.030 Mention (N=64) Otitis interna 35 54.7% 42.9% Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% Adhesions 3 4.7% 100.0% Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2% 	Complication	ns			
 No Mention (N=64) Otitis interna Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% Adhesions 4.7% 100.0% Facial paralysis 1 1.6% 100.0% Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2% 	• Yes	64	35.6%	54.7%	0.030
• Otitis interna 35 54.7% 42.9% • Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% • Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% • Adhesions 3 4.7% 100.0% • Facial paralysis 1 1.6% 100.0% • Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2%	• No	116	64.4%	37.9%	
 Otitis interna 35 54.7% 42.9% Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Meningitis 1 1.6% 100.0% Adhesions 3 4.7% 100.0% Generation 1 45.3% 86.2% 	Mention (N=6	54)			
 Mastoiditis 11 17.2% 45.5% Meningitis 1.6% 100.0% Adhesions 3 4.7% 100.0% Facial paralysis 1.6% 100.0% Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2% 	Otitis interna	35	54.7%	42.9%	
 Meningitis Meningitis 1.6% 100.0% 0.000 Adhesions 4.7% 100.0% 1.6% 100.0% Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2% 	Mastoiditis	11	17.2%	45.5%	
 Adhesions Facial paralysis Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2% 	Meningitis	1	1.6%	100.0%	0.000
 Facial paralysis Hearing impairment Recurrent otitis media 1.6% 100.0% 45.3% 86.2% 	Adhesions	3	4.7%	100.0%	
Hearing impairment 29 45.3% 86.2% Recurrent of tis media	Facial paralysis	1	1.6%	100.0%	
Recurrent offis media	Hearing impairment	29	45.3%	86.2%	
	Recurrent otitis	media	12 00	100.00	
• Yes /9 43.9% 100.0%	• Yes	/9 101	43.9% 56.1%	100.0%	-

*The Chi-square statistic is significant at the 0.05 level.

DISCUSSION

Otitis media (OM) is the inflammation of the mucous membrane of the middle ear cleft, which includes the Eustachian tube, mastoid air cells, mastoid antrum, and the middle ear cavity (tympanic cavity) ^[15]. It may be chronic (>6 weeks) or acute (<6 weeks) ^[16]. Otitis media is a common illness among children. 75% of children have one or more episodes of otitis media by 6 years of age, ^[17]. Most children experience at least one episode of otitis media by age 10 and some of them are at risk for recurrent episodes ^[18]. Several factors are known to increase children's risk of

developing recurrent otitis media, including the first episode in a child <12 months of age, daycare, absence of breastfeeding, passive exposure to tobacco smoke, white race, male gender, recurrent otitis in a sibling ^[19].

This analytical cross-sectional study was performed in 336 on 336 children in Maternity and Children Hospital in Arar City, Northern Saudi Arabia. The study aimed to show the prevalence and risk factors of recurrent otitis media among infants and children in Arar City, Northern Saudi Arabia.

Various prevalence rates of otitis media have been recorded from different parts of the world ^[20, 21]. Young children and infants are at the highest risk of otitis media, with peak prevalence at 6-36 months of age ^[22]. In the USA, AOM is of the most prevalent infection among children under 5 years of age, with a prevalence of 50%-80% ^[23, 24]. Our study found that more than half of cases, 53.6%, had otitis media in the last seven months from whom, 43.9% had ROM, but 23.2% of all samples had ROM. Also, a crosssectional study carried out in Arar, which included 168 participants, reported that OM was 33.9% among the studied children ^[25], which was lower than our findings. However, in Riyadh, another study was carried out among 1500 children in the age range 7-14 years; the prevalence of OM in the study population was 10% ^[26]. Recurrent AOM was present in 23% of children with OM compared to 5% of normal children ^[26].

A study measured the prevalence of OM in a sample of 600 Nigerian children at the age of <12 years and found it to be 14.7% ^[27]. In Australia, another study found that the cross-sectional prevalence of OM at 5 to 7 years of age was 22.5% ^[28]. Also, in Western Australia, a Raine study reported the prevalence of ROM to be 26.8% ^[29]. Humaid et al. conducted a study in children aged 6-7 years and reported an OM prevalence of 23.8% ^[30]. However, Okur et al. reported a prevalence rate of 10.4% for children aged between 6-8 years ^[31].

Existing data on the incidence of OM vary significantly due to geographical locations, time periods of study, age groups, study designs, different case definitions, and other factors ^[32, 33].

AOM symptoms include earache in older children or rubbing, tugging, or pulling the ear or non-specific symptoms, including poor feeding, irritability, or fever in younger children. AOM signs include a distinctly cloudy, yellow, or red tympanic membrane ^[34].

Our study reported that the most common symptoms of otitis media were otalgia by 70% followed by crying and irritability 36.7%, sleeplessness 27.2%, fever 22.8%, difficulty hearing 20.6%, anorexia 20.6%, discharge 15.6%, pressure on the ear 12.8%, headache 12.2%, and vertigo 8.3%. Another study found that about half (52.6%) of the cases complained of crying, ear rubbing, lack of sleep, and vellow or bloody discharge, 24.6% had ear pain and vertigo, 15.8% had ear pain, crying and irritation and reduced appetite [25]. Another study reported; nasal discharge by 30%, snoring 18%, and hearing loss by 15% as the symptoms of OM ^[26]. A multi-country, multi-center, epidemiological, observational cohort study took place in Germany, Italy, Spain, Sweden, and the UK. The most common signs or symptoms included the redness of the tympanic membrane (52.8%) and ear pain (48.4%). Ear discharge was reported in 14.4% and varied by country from

26.5% in Sweden, to 18.2% in the UK, 15.2% in Spain, 9.1% in Italy, and 2.6% in Germany $^{[35]}$.

Our study found that the majority of cases, 90%, had visited a physician, and 90.6% had treatment. Improvement of the treatment occurred in 81.1% of cases, 11% performed culture sensitivity test, and only 5% needed tube placement.

As regards complications, our study reported; 35.6% of OM cases had complications. More than half (54.7%) had otitis interna, 45.3% had a hearing impairment, and 17.2% had mastoiditis. Similar to our findings, another study reported that more than one third (36.8%) of the cases were exposed to complications; 12.3% had a hearing deficiency, 10.6% had chronic OM, and 7% had sinusitis or inflammation of the inner ear (Otitis interna)^[25].

CONCLUSION

The study concluded that the majority of cases had otitis media, but, recurrent otitis media occurred in 23% of cases. The most common symptom was otalgia. Although there were improvements in the treatment, complications occurred in a third of cases.

REFERENCES

- Suhluli RJ, Mashhour KM, Albushi AA, Albalawi SL, Alahmari AM, Aljuhani AR, Alturaifi MR, Hilabi MA, Aldoghmi AK, Alanazi YM. Otitis Media Diagnosis and Management in Family Medicine Practice: Literature Review. Archives of Pharmacy Practice. 2019 Jul 1;10(3):21-5.
- Meherali S, Campbell A, Hartling L, Scott S. Understanding Parents' Experiences and Information Needs on Pediatric Acute Otitis Media: A Qualitative Study. J Patient Exp. 2019 Mar;6(1):53-61.
- Daly KA, Brown JE, Lindgren BR, Meland MH, Le CT, Giebink GS. Epidemiology of otitis media onset by six months of age. Pediatrics. 1999 Jun 1;103(6):1158-66.
- Pichichero ME. Recurrent and persistent otitis media. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2000 Sep 1;19(9):911-6.
- Mattos JL, Colman KL, Casselbrant ML, Chi DH. Intratemporal and intracranial complications of acute otitis media in a pediatric population. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2014;78(12):2161–4.
- Atik, N., Umbarawan, Y., Nurdiawan, W., Avriyanti, E., Achadiyani, Ruslami.., A. Aloe Vera protect the Rat's Lung after Cigarettes Smoke inducement: A histological study. Journal of Advanced Pharmacy Education and Research, 2019; 9(3), 96-100.
- Alzaid A, Alosaimi M, Alkahtani KF, Alshehri BA, Asiri AE, Asiri AM, Althibait SA, Aldrees WS, Althwaiqub AK, Almakhayitah OA, Almarzooq MJ. Saudi Parents' Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Regarding Antibiotic use for Upper Respiratory Tract Infections in Children. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research & Allied Sciences. 2020 Jan 1;9(1).
- Dika–Haxhirexha F, Santacroce L, Topi S, Alimani-Jakupi J, Haxhirexha A. Intestinal Parasitosis In Children: A Balkan Pilot Study Download PDF. Pharmacophore, 2020; 11(2), 91-94.
- Shaikh N, Hoberman A, Paradise JL, Wald ER, Switze GE, Kurs-Lasky M, Colborn DK, Kearney DH, Zoffel LM. Development and preliminary evaluation of a parent-reported outcome instrument for clinical trials in acute otitis media. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2009 Jan 1;28(1):5-8.
- Daly KA, Brown JE, Lindgren BR, Meland MH, Le CT, Giebink GS. Epidemiology of otitis media onset by six months of age. Pediatrics. 1999 Jun 1;103(6):1158-66.
- 11. Friedman NR, McCormick DP, Pittman C, Chonmaitree T, Teichgraeber DC, Uchida T, Baldwin CD, Saeed KA. Development

of a practical tool for assessing the severity of acute otitis media. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2006 Feb 1;25(2):101-7.

- McCormick DP, Jennings K, Ede LC, Alvarez-Fernandez P, Patel J, Chonmaitree T. Use of symptoms and risk factors to predict acute otitis media in infants. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology. 2016 Feb 1;81:55-9. doi:10.1016/j.ijporl.2015.12.002
- Zakzouk SM, Jamal TS, Daghistani KJ. Epidemiology of acute otitis media among Saudi children. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology. 2002 Feb 28;62(3):219-22.
- Le Saux N, Robinson JL, Canadian Paediatric Society, Infectious Diseases and Immunization Committee. Management of acute otitis media in children six months of age and older. Paediatrics & child health. 2016 Feb 5;21(1):39-44. doi:10.1093/pch/21.1.39
- Bekwe, AO. Common Ear, Nose and Throat disorders. In: Azubuike, JC and Nkanginieme, K.E.O., Eds., Paediatrics and Child Health in a Tropical Region, African Educational Services, Owerri, 1999; 546-545.
- Berman, S., Johnson, C., Chan, K., Kelley, P. Ear, Nose and Throat. In: Hay, W.W., Hayward, A.R., Levin, M.J., Sondheimer, JM, Eds., Current Pediatric Diagnosis and Treatment, McGraw-Hill Companies Inc., New York, 2001; 400-410.
- 17. Teele DW, Klein JO, Rosner B, Greater Boston Otitis Media Study Group. Epidemiology of otitis media during the first seven years of life in children in greater Boston: a prospective, cohort study. Journal of infectious diseases. 1989 Jul 1;160(1):83-94.
- Hardy AM, Fowler MG. Child care arrangements and repeated ear infections in young children. American Journal of Public Health. 1993 Sep;83(9):1321-5.
- 19. Saarinen UM. Recurrent otitis media in breastfed infants. Acta Paediatr Scand, 1982; 71:567.
- Adeleke SI. Bacteriology of Ear Discharges in Children Attending Paediatric Out-Patient Department of Ahmadu Bello UniversityTeaching Hospital, Zaria. A dissertation in part fulfillment of the Part II Finals of the West African Postgraduate Medical College. 2003..
- Amusa YB, Ijadunola IK, Onayade OO. Epidemiology of otitis media in a local tropical African population. West African journal of medicine. 2005;24(3):227-30.
- Kline, M.W. Otitis Media. In: McMillan, J.A, DeAngelis, C.D., Feigin, R.D., Warshaw, J.B., Eds. Oski's Pe- diatrics. Principles and Practice. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, 1999; 1302-1304.
- Rovers MM, Schilder AG, Zielhuis GA, Rosenfeld RM. Otitis media. Lancet. 2004;363(9407):465–73.https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)15495-0
- Coco A, Vernacchio L, Horst M, Anderson A. Management of acute otitis media after publication of the 2004 AAP and AAFP clinical practice guideline. Pediatrics. 2010;125(2):214–20. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-1115
- 25. Alshammari RH, Alanazi RA, Slamah I, Albanaqi S, Al-Madani SA, Alenazi NH, Abdullah AB, Alruwaili AS. Otitis media in infancy and children less than 7 years in Arar region, Northern Saudi Arabia.
- Alqahtani ZN. Otitis Media in Children at Riyadh Capital City of KSA. EC Microbiology. 2017;12:224-31.
- Amusa YB, Ijadunola IK, Onayade OO. Epidemiology of otitis media in a local tropical African population. West African journal of medicine. 2005;24(3):227-30.
- Brennan-Jones CG, Hakeem HH, Costa CD, Weng W, Whitehouse AJ, Jamieson SE, Eikelboom RH. Cross-sectional prevalence and risk factors for otitis media and hearing loss in Australian children aged 5 to 7 years: a prospective cohort study. Australian Journal of Otolaryngology. 2020 Mar 27;3.
- 29. Brennan-Jones CG, Whitehouse AJ, Park J, Hegarty M, Jacques A, Eikelboom RH, Swanepoel DW, White JD, Jamieson SE. Prevalence and risk factors for parent-reported recurrent otitis media during early childhood in the W estern A ustralian P regnancy C ohort (R aine) S tudy. Journal of paediatrics and child health. 2015 Apr;51(4):403-9.
- 30. Humaid AH, Abou-halawa SA, Masood KA, Nuha AH, Al Duways Ali Saleh AM. Prevalence and risk factors of Otitis Media with effusion in school children in Qassim Region of Saudi Arabia. International journal of health sciences. 2014 Oct;8(4):325.

- Okur E, Yildirim I, Kilic MA, Guzelsoy S. Prevalence of otitis media with effusion among primary school children in Kahramanmaras, in Turkey. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology. 2004 May 1;68(5):557-62.
- 32. Schnabel E, Sausenthaler S, Brockow I, Liese J, Herbarth O, Michael B, Schaaf B, Krämer U, Von Berg A, Wichmann HE, Heinrich J. Burden of otitis media and pneumonia in children up to 6 years of age: results of the LISA birth cohort. European journal of pediatrics. 2009 Oct 1;168(10):1251-7.
- Garces-Sanchez M, Diez-Domingo J, Alvarez DL, Planelles V, Graullera M, Baldo JM, García LL, García LM, Peris VA, Gallego GM, Ballester SA. Epidemiology and burden of acute otitis media in Valencia (Spain). InAnales de pediatria (Barcelona, Spain: 2003) 2004 Feb (Vol. 60, No. 2, p. 125).
- 34. Venekamp RP, Damoiseaux RA, Schilder AG. Acute otitis media in children. BMJ clinical evidence. 2014;2014.
- Liese JG, Silfverdal SA, Giaquinto C, Carmona A, Larcombe JH, Garcia-Sicilia J, Fuat A, Garces-Sanchez M, Basanta MA, Hiraldo EM, Cantarutti L. Incidence and clinical presentation of acute otitis media in children aged< 6 years in European medical practices. Epidemiology & Infection. 2014 Aug;142(8):1778-88. doi:10.1017/S0950268813002744.